

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Title of Publication: Image Number 85

Other Known Title: Not Stated

Format: Computer Image File

Director/Author: Not Applicable

Producer/Publisher: Not Stated

Country of Origin: Not Stated

Language: Not Stated

Classification:	Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years.
------------------------	---

Descriptive Note: Not Applicable

Display Conditions: That when the publication is on public display, the classification given to the publication must be shown on the publication or any package in which the publication is kept.

Date of entry in Register: 21 March 2005

Date of direction to issue a label: Not Applicable

Applicant: Nicole Carter

Applicant to the Labelling Body: Not Applicable

OFLC No: 500181

Unexcised version of publication: Not Applicable

	Components	Running time
Menu:		
Feature:		
Other timed components:		
Total running time:		

Excisions: Not Applicable

Reasons for excisions:

Not Applicable

**Summary of reasons
for classification:**

The computer image file entitled *Image Number 85* is classified as:
Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years.

The publication was submitted to the Classification Office by a member of the public under s13(1)(c) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993. The image depicts two men engaged in a consensual sexual act.

The unrestricted availability of the publication is likely to be injurious to the public good. Adults are more likely to have the experience and the maturity to place the image within the context of adult sexual relationships. Therefore, the publication is classified as objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years.

The New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 has been considered in relation to this publication. The classification represents the minimum interference with the freedom of expression consistent with preventing likely injury to the public good.